



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/777,306	02/05/2001	Martin L. Hess	3801P001C3	3917
7590 06/04/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			VU, VIET DUY	
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		2154	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/777,306	HESS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Viet Vu	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat			ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 16 <i>April 2004</i> .		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice in	· ·		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-28,41-45 and 59-76</u> is/are µ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are № 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-28,41-45 and 59-76</u> is/are № 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '	1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		* · ·	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/04.	-948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

Art Unit: 2154

Art Rejection:

1. The text of 35 USC 103(a) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.

2. Claims 19-28, 41-45 and 59-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kernz, U.S. pat. No. 6,366,899, in view of Odom et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,058,379.

Per claims 19-20 and 22, <u>Kernz</u> discloses an electronic auction system and method for facilitating person-to-person commerce comprising:

- a) storing at a listing site descriptions of items and thumbnail images including at least first and second images associated with first and second items respectively (see Kernz's col 9, lines 39-45), the images being seller-specified images that are retrieved from a listing site (Kernz's col 7, lines 45-49) or at a remote site (see Kernz's col 13, lines 35-48),
- b) combining the first and second images onto a web page for presentation at a remote site in response to a user query (see Kernz's col 9, lines 36-43 and col 12, lines 1-55).

Kernz does not explicitly disclose the steps of retrieving or transmitting seller-specified item descriptions and images from a user site or remote site to the listing site. Odom discloses a prior art electronic auction system comprising a

Art Unit: 2154

listing server for retrieving/downloading user-supplied data from a remote site (see Odom's col 9, lines 18-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize <u>Odom</u>'s image retrieving step in <u>Kernz</u> because it would have allowed <u>Kernz</u> to store and compile data and images on the listing server.

Kernz does not explicitly teach generating thumbnail images from the standard images. An official notice is taken that the method of generating thumbnail images is well known in the art. It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any known technique to generate thumbnail images from standard images in Kernz because it would have enabled providing thumbnail images associated with the listing items (see Kernz's col 9, lines 42-43).

Per claim 21, <u>Odom</u> teaches providing users information related to item including title/identifier, start/stop time, bid history, etc., (<u>see Odom's col 5</u>, lines 11-57).

Per claims 59-60, Odom teaches associated the listing item including description information and images with a listing number or identifier (see Odom's col 5, lines 38-45).

Art Unit: 2154

Claims 23-28 and 62-76 are similar in scope than that of claims 19-22 and 59-60 and hence are rejected for the same rationale set forth for claims 19-22 and 59-60.

Per claims 41-45 and 61, Odom teaches registering listing items from a seller (see Odom's col 5, lines 11-38). Odom does not explicitly teach registering the listing items via web pages. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to realize such web-based registration process because it would have enabled both buyer and seller to access listing web server using conventional web browser (see Odom's col 4, lines 61-65 and col 5, lines 46-48).

Response to Amendment:

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/16/04 with respect to claims 19-28, 41-45 and 59-76 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant alleges that <u>Kernz</u> does not teach or suggest creating thumbnail images based on images retrieved from a plurality of sites.

This is not found persuasive. The examiner submits that the present claims do not explicitly require the alleged step of creating thumbnail images to be systematically performed by the listing server based upon user-supplied information including

Art Unit: 2154

images or locations of images from a plurality of sites. The present claims merely suggest that the thumbnail images could be created or precompiled in the listing database based previously stored or user-supplied images (from a remote site) but do not specify when or how such thumbnail images are to be created. In Kernz, the thumbnail images are compiled by the listing database for including with sale item descriptions (see Kernz's col 9, lines 39-45). This teaching obviously requires a process to create a thumbnail image from a standard image that would obviously be done by a user or the listing server. Kernz also teaches providing an embedded link within the thumbnail image to the standard images stored at a remote site (see Kernz's col 13, lines 35-47). Thus, it is submitted that those teachings from Kernz collectively suggest creation of thumbnail images based on images retrieved from a remote site.

Applicant also alleges that $\underline{\text{Kernz}}$ does not teach utilizing information including specified locations of the plurality of sites to retrieve the images.

This is not found persuasive. Again, The examiner is unable to find the limitation in the present claims that require the listing server to systematically performing the step of retrieving images from a remote site based upon information supplied by the user. On the other hand, it is generally well

known in the art that images can be retrieved or downloaded by the server/user from a remote site using specified location of the remote site.

Conclusion:

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Conclusion:

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on (703) 305-8498.

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Truton

Art Unit 2154 6/1/04